UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/641,302	08/15/2003	In Tae Hwang	2101-3355	3551	
	7590 04/01/200 DEGERMAN, KANG &	EXAMINER			
660 S. FIGUEROA STREET Suite 2300 LOS ANGELES, CA 90017			DUONG, CHRISTINE T		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2416		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/01/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspto@lhlaw.com ip.lhlaw@gmail.com ip.lhlaw@live.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/641,302	HWANG, IN TAE		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	CHRISTINE DOONG	2410	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 February 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS .	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, wwith 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS			e appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor		ΓE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	**		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti	er form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying the	ne issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	one openating frame or or finally reju	solod olamno.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOI -324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mphane, anonamone (1 0 2 0 2 1 //
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).			g
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>23,27,40,43 and 46</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	I sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. \square The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the extraphed Information Displaceurs Statement(s). 		n condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	F10/30/00/ Fapel 110(5)		
	/Kevin C. Harper/		
	Primary Examiner, Art U	Init 2416	
	•		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicants have argued regarding claim 23 that "the communication Takiyasu's frame 30 is not a data frame having a header portion and a data portion, and transmitted is from a mobile station to a network" (page 7).

In response to Applicants' argument, the examiner respectfully disagrees. Takiyasu's discloses a request field R3 and an information field R4, which together is interpreted as Applicants' data frame having a header portion and a data portion. Takiyasu discloses "Each mobile station issues an access request by using the request field R3. The information field R4 is supplied with ... information from a source mobile station" (Takiyasu column 13 lines 36-39). Therefore, Takiyasu discloses a data frame having a header portion and a data portion and transmitted is from a mobile station to a network.

Applicants have argued regarding claim 23 that "the RI field does not indicate whether the RS field requests a time resource" (page 7).

In response to Applicants' argument, the examiner respectfully disagrees. Feinberg discloses a request-resource flag (ResourceReq). Therefore, a combination of Takiyasu and Feinberg discloses a field indicating that another field has a time resource request.

Applicants have argued regarding claim 23 that "the number of fragments identified by Takyasu's NF 43c is for the time resource requested by the request in the data frame" (page 8).

In response to Applicants' argument, the examiner respectfully disagrees. Takiyasu discloses "During the period of the request field R3 of the communication frame, the source station 2a requests a fragment slot access right to transmit a message, and sets access request information to an optional request slot 37i (request transmission step 10). The request information contains the source address (AD) 43b and the number (NF) 43c of fragments necessary for the message transmission" (Takiyasu column 14 lines 43-49). Therefore, Takiyasu discloses the time resource request.

Applicants have argued regarding claim 23 that "Takiyasu fails to teach or suggest the relation with the first field (RI having SN field) and the second field (NF 43c), while the second field of the header portion of claim 23 identifies an amount of the time resource requested, when the first field has the first logic value" (page 8).

In response to Applicants' argument, the examiner respectfully disagrees. Feinberg discloses a request-resource flag (ResourceReq). Therefore, a combination of Takiyasu and Feinberg discloses the relation between the first and second fields.

Applicants have argued regarding claim 23 that "the ND and FN fields are not included in a single header portion having the RI and NF fields" (page 8).

In response to Applicants' argument, the examiner respectfully disagrees. R3 and R4 together is interpreted as Applicants' data frame. Therefore, ND or FN represent the third field.